

Training segment: 17-18

Agenda item: 5.1-5.3

Consideration by MOP & Follow-up

Andriy Andrusevych,
RACSE



Ресурсно-аналітичний центр
"Суспільство і довкілля"

Resource & Analysis Center
"Society and Environment"



5.1. Consideration by MOP

- Competence
- Experience


Общая процедура/Overall process





MOP's competence

- a) Provide advice and facilitate assistance to individual Parties regarding the implementation of the Convention;
- b) Make recommendations to the Party concerned;
- c) Request the Party concerned to submit a strategy, including a time schedule, and to report on the implementation of this strategy;
- d) In cases of communications from the public, make recommendations to the Party concerned on specific measures to address the matter raised by the member of the public;
- e) Issue declarations of non-compliance;
- f) Issue cautions;
- g) Suspend the special rights and privileges accorded to the Party concerned under the Convention;
- h) Take such other non-confrontational, non-judicial and consultative measures as may be appropriate.




2nd MOP (2005) and 3rd MOP (2008)




- Almaty 2005
 - Kazakhstan
 - Ukraine
 - Turkmenistan
- No consideration of findings
- Issue-based titles
- First experience



Compliance Case Circle





2nd MOP (2005) and 3rd MOP (2008)




■ Riga 2008

- Kazakhstan
- Ukraine
- Turkmenistan

- Albania
- Armenia
- Lithuania

- Belgium
- Denmark
- Hungary
- Romania
- EC



2nd MOP (2005) and 3rd MOP (2008)




- No more powers to ACCC
- Five new members
 - 3 from NGO support
 - EECCA (3), Central Europe (2), “West EU” (4)
- Ukraine and Turkmenistan strongly opposed
 - Ukrainian NGOs present at MOP of “implementing plans funded from abroad”
- a question is open as to practical impact, which may be too early assess now but the process can commence
- the committee itself raises no doubts by civil society as to being unbiased, objective, open



5.2 Working with the Government

- Opening a case initiates a process which must be accompanied by continuing efforts on national level
- Approaching other fora/processes
- No implementation without NGOs
- Keep putting pressure

5.3. Follow-up within Aarhus Process





5.3. Follow-up within Aarhus Process

- Albania
 - Decision III/6a – June 2008
 - Reporting:
 - Nov 2008
 - Nov 2009
 - Nov 2010



5.3. Follow-up within Aarhus Process

■ Albania

- Reminder to Government – Oct 2008
- Second reminder – Feb 2009
- 1st Interim report by Gov't – Feb 2009
- Committee's reaction – May 2009
- Reminder to Gov't – Nov 2009
- Request for delay – Dec 2009
- 2nd Report by Gov't – Jan 2010